

Biological Forum – An International Journal

14(1): 12-16(2022)

ISSN No. (Print): 0975-1130 ISSN No. (Online): 2249-3239

# Response of Budload and Fertilizer on Berry Shape, Quality and Shot berry disorder in Grapes cv. Sahebi

Aroosa Khalil\*, Nowsheen Nazir, Shaila Din, M.K. Sharma and Amit Kumar Division of Fruit Science, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences & Technology of Kashmir, Shalimar 190025, (J&K), India.

(Corresponding author: Aroosa Khalil\*) (Received 08 October 2021, Accepted 03 December, 2021) (Published by Research Trend, Website: www.researchtrend.net)

ABSTRACT: Grape (Vitis vinifera L.), belonging to Vitaceae family, is considered to be one the important fruit. Besides being delicious, it is also nutritious as it is enriched with vitamins and minerals. The matter of concern related to grape cultivation in Jammu & Kashmir is its declining productivity and also the degrading quality of the produce when compared to other major producing states of the country. The possible reason for declining productivity is the unscientific approach and inappropriate cultural practices followed by growers in its cultivation. The knowledge regarding the fertilizer requirement and the optimum budload in grapes is also limited in the valley. Thus there is the need for focusing on appropriate cultural practices so as to achieve higher quality production and also increase the productivity of grapes. Bud load and fertilizer scheduling also effect the percentage of shot berries (smaller and shrivelled berries). Thus with the objective of giving proper recommendation to farmers regarding the optimum budload, fertilization and also to reduce the percentage of shot berries, an experiment was conducted in the orchard of department of horticulture, at Kralbagh, Tehsil Lar, Ganderbal. The treatments involving fertilization was tested at three levels. In the 1<sup>st</sup> treatment (F<sub>1</sub>) FYM @ 50 Kg/ vine and macronutrients as per the recommended dose *i.e* N= 555 g/ vine; P= 227 g/ vine; K= 470 g/ vine was applied. In  $2^{nd}$  treatment (F<sub>2</sub>), FYM was applied in the same quantities, however macronutrients were applied twice that of the recommended dose (i.e N= 1110 g/ vine; P= 454 g/ vine; K= 940 g/ vine). In treatment  $3^{rd}$  (F<sub>3</sub>), macronutrients were applied thrice the recommended dose (*i.e* N= 1665 g/ vine; P= 680 g/ vine; K= 1410 g/ vine) without any change in FYM content application. The bud load treatments were also tested at three different levels viz, B1: 96 buds/vine, B2: 128 buds/ vine and B3: 160 buds/vine. The treatments were replicated thrice and design of experiment chosen was randomized complete block design. The effect of the budload and nutrient level was assessed on the length: diameter ratio, number of seeds, TSS: Acid ratio and percentage of shot berries. The results revealed no significant influence on berry L/D ratio and number of seeds/berry. However significant effect of bud load and fertilizer levels was seen on TSS/ acid ratio and percentage of shot berries. Budload B2 indicated maximum TSS/acid ratio to the tune of 40.17 and 40.72 during both the years of study, whereas budload, B<sub>3</sub> recorded minimum TSS/acid ratio (27.03 and 28.15). Fertilizer dose F<sub>2</sub> recorded the highest TSS/ acid ratio (35.60 and 36.46). Considering the interaction effect, highest value of TSS/acidity ratio was noticed in  $B_2F_2$  combination (43.37 and 42.85) during the two years respectively. The minimum percentage of shot berry (10.46 and 10.00%) was produced by budload, B<sub>2</sub> and maximum percentage of shot berry (18.86 and 17.83%) was noticed in budload, B<sub>3</sub>. Percentage of shot berry was minimum (13.20 and 12.46%) by the application of fertilizer dose,  $F_2$  and maximum (16.22 and 15.14%) by fertilizer dose, F<sub>3</sub>. In case of interaction, percentage of shot berry was lowest in  $B_2F_2$  combination (8.91 and 8.76%) followed non-significantly by  $B_2F_1$  (10.50 and 9.92%) during the two years respectively.

Keywords: Shot berries, Budload, TSS/ Acid ratio.

#### INTRODUCTION

Grape (*Vitis vinifera* L.), belonging to Vitaceae family, is considered to be one the important fruit. Besides

being delicious, it is also nutritious enriched with vitamins and minerals. Botanically it is considered as berry. It is a non-climacteric fruit and the vines are deciduous and perennial. The total acreage of grapes in

Biological Forum – An International Journal 14(1): 12-16(2022)

India is 0.14 Million Ha with the production of 3.041 million tons which accounts to 21.72 tons/ha productivity (Anonymous, 2019). The major grapes growing state in India is Maharashtra which accounts for 62.7% of total production. Besides, it is also grown in states like Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, Punjab and Tamil Nadu. Considering the scenario of our union territory Jammu & Kashmir, its cultivation is spread across the area of about 332 ha with the production of 1048 Metric tonnes (Anonymous, 2018-19). The matter of concern related to grape cultivation is its declining productivity and also the degrading quality of the produce when compared to other major producing states of the country. The possible reason for this is the unscientific ways and inappropriate cultural practices involved in its production. The two major concerns include the inadequate knowledge about the optimum bud load and amount of fertilization to be applied. For maintenance of sustainable productivity for a longer period of time, the two important factors to be considered are budload and fertilizer schedule. The objective of attaining a proper budload can be fulfilled by means of proper pruning practice which in turn ensures better yield of quality produce. Apart from maintaining a proper budload, care needs to be taken to supply optimum concentrations of various macro as well as micronutrients. The proper fertilizations helps in adequate development of the vines as well the enhance the production of high quality fruit. Besides grapes are

also considered as 'nutrient-loving' or 'heavy-feeders' of mineral nutrients, particularly that of macronutrients. Thus considering this fact, deficiency of any of the mineral nutrient can deteriote the growth of the vines and also shall have negative effects on the yield and quality aspects of the fruit (Kumar et al., 2015; Mishra et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2019). So in order to achieve higher quality production and also increase the productivity of grapes, there is a need to maintain proper budload and supply optimum nutrient levels. For this there is a need to provide detailed package to the farmers for better remuneration. Based on all the stated facts, the present investigation was undertaken to assess the effect of budload and fertilizers on the L/D ratio, TSS and Number of seeds and number of shot berries, so as to give proper recommendation (for budload and fertilizer dose) to the growers with the aim of obtaining higher yield as well as quality of the produce.

## MATERIAL AND METHOD

For the purpose of experimentation, a model orchard of grapevine located Kralbagh (Lar tehsil) area of Ganderbal, under department of Horticulture was selected. The age of the tree was 23 years and the training system followed was the bower system. The vines were spaces at the distance of  $4.3m \times 4.6m$ . The fertilizer treatment consisted of 3-levels of fertilizer doses as shown below (Table 1):

 Table 1: Fertilizer treatment details.

	FYM (Kg/ vine)	Nitrogen (g/ vine)	Phosphorus (g/ vine)	Potassium (g/ vine)		
F <sub>1</sub>	50	555	227	470		
F <sub>2</sub>	50	1110	454	940		
F <sub>3</sub>	50	1665	681	1410		

Three levels of bud load treatments were selected consisting of " $B_1$ : 96 buds/vine,  $B_2$ : 128 buds/ vine and  $B_3$ : 160 buds/vine". The design used was randomized completely block design and with three replications for each of the treatments and combinations.



An overview of experimental site. The length and diameter were measured using vernier caliper, which was later used to calculate L/D ratio. Ten berries from each bunch were randomly selected for this calculation. Thereafter the values obtained were used for L/D ratio. The number of seeds for 50 randomly selected berries from each of the replications was calculated, and expressed as average number of seeds per berry. Thereafter the percentage of shot berries obtained in each of the treatment was estimated. Small sized berries (equal to the size of black pepper or even small) were considered as shot berries. The total number of normal berries and shot berries were counted and the percentage of shot berries was thereafter determined using these values [Nangia and Bakhshi (1971); Dhillon and Sharma (1973)]. For estimating the total soluble solid content, juice was extracted from randomly selected berries. The juice obtained was filtered and total soluble solid content was determined using refractometer in °Brix. The observations recorded were thereafter corrected at a temperature of 20°C taking the help of temperature correction chart (A.O.A.C., 1990).

Khalil et al.,

For determining titratable acidity (in terms of tartaric acid), a known quantity of homogenized juice was tritrated against 0.1 N NaOH solution using phenolphthalein as indicator (A.O.A.C., 1990). Thereafter the TSS/ acid ratio (also termed as sweetness index) was obtained using the above values obtained (TSS and acidity). For analysis and interpretation statistical methods described by Gomez and Gomez (1984) were followed with 5 per cent level of significance.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

No significant effect of budload and fertilizer dosing was seen on the shape of the fruit determined by L/D ratio (Table 2). This is because of the fact that fruit shape is determined by the genotype and is a characteristic genetic feature of a particular fruit or variety. Or findings are in conformity with Salem *et al.*, (1997); Ganai (2006). Also the results were found insignificant in this parameter for the effect of budload and fertilizer as well as non significant for their interaction (Table 1). These results are in line with the findings of Nyomora *et al.*, (2000); Palanichamy *et al.*, (2004); Nikkah *et al.*, (2013).

Data presented in Table-2 indicated that significant effect of both the factors (viz 'budload and fertilizer dosage') on the percentage of shot berries. The minimum percentage of shot berry (10.46 and 10.00%) was produced by budload,  $B_2$  (128 buds/vine) and

maximum percentage of shot berry (18.86 and 17.83%) was noticed in budload, B<sub>3</sub> (160 buds/vine) during 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> year respectively. Similar results have been obtained by Chadha and Kumar (1970): Gill and Sharma (2005) (Table 2). Percentage of shot berry was minimum (13.20 and 12.46%) by the application of fertilizer dose, F<sub>2</sub> and maximum (16.22 and 15.14%) by fertilizer dose,  $F_3$  during the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> year respectively. Combined effect of budload and fertilizer dose exerted a significant effect on the percentage of shot berry during both the years. Percentage of shot berry was lowest in  $B_2F_2$  combination (8.91 and 8.76%) followed non-significantly by  $B_2F_1$  (10.50 and 9.92%) during the two years respectively (Table 2). The reason behind this may be the fact that adequate budload resulted in adequate nutrition to the berries which in turn enhanced fruitfulness and consequently resulted in less percentage of shot berries. Bud fruitfulness is enhanced by the nutrition provided to the vine during the previous year, as well the current year floral differentiation is influenced by the previous year's nutrition. Nitrogen content of the vines has been found directly related with the percentage of fruit set as well as percent of shot berries. Too high or too low nitrogen can lead to poor fruitset (Delgado et al., 2004; Cocco et al., 2021). All these facts indicate that fertilizer dose  $F_2$ was successful in maintaining an adequate ratio of carbon: nitrogen which in turn reduced the incidence of shot berries in vines.

 Table 2: Effect of budload and fertilizer application on berry characteristics and shot berry disorder in grape cv. Sahebi.

	L/D Ratio		No. of seeds/ berry		Shot berry		TSS/ Acid ratio	
Treatments	1 <sup>st</sup> year	2 <sup>nd</sup> year						
$B_1$	1.485	1.566	2.55	2.36	14.45	13.45	32.93	34.50
$B_2$	1.837	1.934	2.92	2.73	10.46	10.00	40.17	40.72
B <sub>3</sub>	1.670	1.819	2.18	2.03	18.86	17.83	27.03	28.15
CD (p 0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	2.31	2.28	2.01	1.97
F <sub>1</sub>	1.699	1.815	2.36	2.33	14.36	13.67	33.35	34.52
$F_2$	1.632	1.753	2.62	2.33	13.20	12.46	35.60	36.46
F <sub>3</sub>	1.661	1.751	2.66	2.47	16.22	15.14	31.18	32.39
CD (p 0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	1.14	1.20	2.09	2.03
$B_1F_1$	1.494	1.583	2.33	2.33	13.82	13.27	33.18	34.90
$B_1F_2$	1.482	1.574	2.66	2.33	13.82	12.28	34.99	37.12
$B_1F_3$	1.481	1.542	2.66	2.44	15.71	14.80	30.63	31.49
$B_2F_1$	1.922	2.024	2.77	2.66	10.50	9.92	39.69	40.72
$B_2F_2$	1.748	1.872	3.00	2.66	8.91	8.76	43.37	42.85
$B_2F_3$	1.841	1.908	3.00	2.88	11.98	11.31	37.44	38.58
$B_3F_1$	1.682	1.839	2.00	2.00	18.77	17.82	27.19	27.93
$B_3F_2$	1.665	1.813	2.22	2.00	16.86	16.35	28.44	29.40
B <sub>3</sub> F <sub>3</sub>	1.663	1.805	2.33	2.11	20.96	19.32	25.47	27.11
CD (p 0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	2.35	2.31	2.11	2.12

A significant influence on TSS/acid ratio was noted due to budload and fertilizers (Table 2). Budload  $B_2$  (128 buds/vine) indicated maximum TSS/acid ratio to the tune of 40.17 and 40.72 whereas budload,  $B_3$  (160 buds/vine) recorded minimum TSS/acid ratio (27.03 and 28.15) during the two years respectively (Table 2).

These results are in accordance with Thatai *et al.*, (1987); Gill and Sharma (2005); Fawzi *et al.*, (2010). Fertilizer dose  $F_2$  recorded the highest TSS/ acid ratio (35.60 and 36.46) during 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> year respectively but in 2<sup>nd</sup> year it was statistically at par with fertilizer dose,  $F_1$  (34.52). The lowest TSS/acid ratio (31.18 and

32.39) was recorded under fertilizer dose  $F_3$  during the two years respectively. Highest value of TSS/acidity ratio was noticed in  $B_2F_2$  combination (43.37 and 42.85) during the two years respectively. These results are in accordance with Khalil et al., (2021); Gill and Sharma (2005); Fawzi et al., (2010); Davies and Robinson (1996); Delgado et al., (2004); Salem et al., (2004). Application of nitrogen enhances the availability of assimilates but excessive vegetative growth is caused by higher dosage. This excessive vegetative growth leads to competition of nutrients for the berries which in turn are deprived of adequate nutrition. Phosphorus fertilization plays a very crucial role in sugar accumulation in berries. Thus enhanced phosphorus application has lead to higher total soluble solid content in berries. Potassium has a important role to play in transportation of sugars to sinks (berries). Increased polysaccharide hydrolysis to monosaccharides also is responsible for the higher total soluble solid content in the berries. This further resulted in reduced acidity due the conversion of organic acids to sugars (Rogiers et al., 2017). The precipitation of potassium bitartarate significantly lowers tartaric acid levels. The TSS/acid ratio was found to be in accordance with highest value of TSS and lowest value of acidity in the treatments. Interaction effect of both budload and fertilizers had a tangible impact in increasing TSS/acid ratio during the two years (Table 2).

### CONCLUSION

Thus from the present investigation, it is clearly seen adequate bud load and fertilizer dosage has a significant effect on the percentage of shot berries as well as the sweetness index (TSS /Acid ratio) of the berries. Maintaining the budload @ '128 buds/vine-16 canes with 8 buds on each cane' and applying the fertilizer dose @ 'FYM-50 kg/vine + 2 times recommended dose-NPK: 1110, 454, 940 g/vine' were found to be most effective in improving berry characteristics and decreasing the percentage of shot berries in grape cv. Sahebi. Further researches still needs to be conducted which focus on the scope of fertigation in high density grape orchards and organic fruit production. The comparison between the fertilizer efficacy through direct application and fertigation also needs to be assessed in future.

Acknowledgment. The authors acknowledge the infrastructure and support of Department of Horticulture, Kralbagh (Lar tehsil) Ganderbal without whom this work wouldn't have reached its completion. Conflict of Interest. None.

#### REFERENCES

- A.O.A.C. (1990). Official and Tentative Methods of Analysis. Association of Official Agricultural Chemists.15<sup>th</sup> edition. Washington, D.C., USA, pp. 484.
- Anonymous (2018-19). District wise/kind wise area and production of major horticultural crops in Jammu and

Kashmir state. Department of horticulture, Jammu and Kashmir Government.

- Anonymous (2019). FAO. Food and Agricultural Organization. Statistical Database on World Fruit Production. www.fao.org.
- Chadha, K. L. and Kumar, H. (1970). Effect of pruning with constant number of total buds but number and length of canes varied on growth, yield, fruit quality and bearing behavior of Perlette grape. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, 27: 123-127.
- Cocco, A., Mercenaro, L., Muscas, E., Mura, A., Nieddu, G. and Lentini, A. (2021). Multiple effects of nitrogen fertilization on grape vegetation growth, berry quality and pest development in Mediterranean vineyards. *Horticulturae*, 7: 530-544.
- Davies, C. and Robinson, S. P. (1996). Sugar accumulation in grape berries. Cloning of two putative vacuolar invertase cDNAs and their expression in grapevine tissues. *Plant Physiology*, 111: 275.
- Delgado, R., Martin, P., Del Alamo, M. and Gonzales, M. R. (2004). Changes in the phenolic composition of grape berries during ripening in relation to vineyard nitrogen and potassium fertilization rates. *Journal of the Science* of Food and Agriculture, 84: 623-630.
- Dhillon, B. S. and Sharma, K. K. (1973). Regulation of Perlette grapes with CCC, GA, 2,4,5-T and NAA. *Journal of Research, Punjab Agricultural University, 10*: 331-336.
- Fawzi, M. I. F., Shahin, M. F. M. and Kandil, E. A. (2010). Effect of budload on bud behavior, yield, cluster characteristics and some biochemical contents of the cane of Crimson Seedless grapevines. *Journal of American Science*, 6(12): 187-194.
- Ganai, N. A. (2006). Effect of Pre-harvest calcium and boron application on the quality and yield of apple (*Malus x domestica* Borkh.) cv. Red Delicious under temperate conditions of Kashmir. Ph.D Thesis submitted to Shere-Kashmir Universty of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Shalimar, Srinagar, India.
- Gill, M. S. and Sharma, J. K. (2005). Effect of pruning intensities on yield and quality of grape cv. Flame Seedless. *Journal of Research Punjab Agricultural University*, 42: 432-436.
- Gomez, K. A. and Gomez, A. A. (1984). *Statistical Proceedings for Agriculture Research* (2<sup>nd</sup> edn.), John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York.
- Khalil, A., Nazir, N., Din, S., Sharma, M. K. and Kumar, A. (2021). Effect of fertilizer and micronutrients on leaf and fruit mineral status of Grapes cv. Sahebi. *Biological Forum- An International Journal*, 13(1): 270-276.
- Kumar, J., Kumar, R., Rai, R. and Mishra, D. S. (2015). Response of 'Pant Prabhat' guava trees to foliar sprays of zinc, boron, calcium and potassium at different plant growth stages. *The Bioscan.*, *10*(2): 495-498.
- Mishra, A. K., Kumar, S., Verma, S., Dubey, S. and Dubey, A. K. (2016). Effect of zinc sulphate, boric acid and iron sulphate on vegetative growth, yield and quality of strawberry (*Fragaria* × ananassa Duch.) cv. Chandler. *The Bioscan.*, 11: 2222-2225.
- Nangia, R. P. and Bakhshi, J. C. (1971). Fruit crop and quality regulation in Perlette variety of Vinifera grapes. *Journal* of Research, Punjab Agricultural University.
- Nikkah, R., Nafar, H., Rastgoo, S. and Dorostkar, M. (2013). Effect of foliar application of boron and zinc on

Khalil et al., Biological Forum – An International Journal 14(1): 12-16(2022)

qualitative and quantitative fruit characteristics of grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L.). *International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Sciences*, 6(9): 485-492.

- Nyomora, A. M. S., Brown, P. H., Pinney, K. and Polito, V. S. (2000). Foliar application of boron to almond trees affects pollen quality. *Journal of American Society for Horticultural Science*, 125: 265-270.
- Palanichamy, V., Jindal, P. C. and Singh, R. (2004). Studies on severity of pruning in grapes (*Vitis vinifera*) cv. Pusa Navrang-A Teinturier hybrid. *Agricultural Science Digest*, 24(2): 145-147.
- Rogiers, S. Y., Coetzee, Z.A., Walker, R. R., Deloire, A. and Tyerman, S. D. (2017). Potassium in the grape (*Vitis* vinifera L.) berry: Transport and Function. Frontiers in Plant Science, 8: 1629.
- Salem, A. J., Kilany, A. E. and Shaker, G. S. (2004). The

influence of NPK, phosphorus source and potassium foliar application on growth and fruit quality of Thompson Seedless grapevines. *Proceedings XXVI IHC viticulture-living with limitations. Acta Horticulture, 640*: 163-173.

- Salem, A. T., Kilani, A. S. and Shaker, G. S. (1997). Growth and quality of two grapevine cultivars as affected by pruning severity. *Acta Horticulturae*, 441: 309-316.
- Thatai, S. K., Chohan, G. S. and Kumar, H. (1987). Effect of pruning intensity on yield and fruit quality in Perlette grapes trained on Head System. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, 44: 60-61.
- Zhao, Z., Chu, C., Zhou, D., Sha, Z. and Wu, S. (2019). Soil nutrient status and the relation with planting area, planting age and grape varieties in urban vineyards in Shanghai. *Heliyon*, 5: 1-9.

**How to cite this article:** Khalil, A.; Nazir, N.; Din, S.; Sharma, M. K. and Kumar, A. (2022). Response of Budload and Fertilizer on Berry Shape, Quality and Shot Berry Disorder in Grapes cv. Sahebi. *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, *14*(1): 12-16.